

# Time Parallelization and Optimal Control : Paraopt

Norbert Tognon

INRIA Paris

RJCAF (Cinquième Édition)

① Parareal Algorithm

② Optimal control problem

③ Paraopt Algorithm

# Parareal Algorithm

Let us consider the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(y(t)) & t \in [0; T] \\ y(0) = y^0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- We consider the subdivision of the time interval  $[0; T]$  into  $L$  subintervals:

$$T_0 = 0 < T_1 < \dots, \dots < T_L = T.$$

- Solving the original problem on the subintervals,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_\ell = f(y_\ell(t)) \\ y_\ell(T_\ell) = Y_\ell \end{cases} \quad t \in [T_\ell; T_{\ell+1}], \ell = 0, 1, \dots, L-1,$$

# Parareal Algorithm

with the matching condition which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} Y_{\ell+1} = \mathcal{F}(Y_{\ell}) \\ Y_0 = y^0 \end{cases} \quad \ell = 0, \dots, L-1.$$

- For  $Y = (Y_0^T, Y_1^T, \dots, Y_L^T)^T$ , the matching condition becomes

$$\Psi(Y) := \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 - y^0 \\ Y_1 - \mathcal{F}(Y_0) \\ Y_2 - \mathcal{F}(Y_1) \\ \vdots \\ Y_L - \mathcal{F}(Y_{L-1}) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

- $\mathcal{F}$  the solution operator propagates  $y$  from  $T_{\ell}$  to  $T_{\ell+1}$ .

# Parareal Algorithm

- Newton method

$$\Psi'(Y^k) (Y^{k+1} - Y^k) = -\Psi(Y^k).$$

- Componentwise

$$Y_0^{k+1} = y^0$$

$$Y_{\ell+1}^{k+1} = \mathcal{F}(Y_\ell^k) + \partial_{Y_\ell} \mathcal{F}(Y_\ell^k) (Y_\ell^{k+1} - Y_\ell^k), \ell = 0, \dots, L-1.$$

- The parareal algorithm reads

$$Y_0^{k+1} = y^0$$

$$Y_{\ell+1}^{k+1} = \mathcal{F}(Y_\ell^k) + \mathcal{G}(Y_\ell^{k+1}) - \mathcal{G}(Y_\ell^k), \ell = 0, \dots, L-1.$$

- $\mathcal{F}$  is more accurate than  $\mathcal{G}$ .

# Optimal control problem

**Problem:** control on a fixed, bounded interval  $[0, T]$ .

Cost functional

$$J(c) = \frac{1}{2} \|y(T) - y_{target}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^T c^2(t) dt,$$

- $\alpha$ : a fixed regularization parameter;
- $y_{target}$ : the target state;
- $y$ : state function is described by the equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(y(t)) + c(t), t \in [0; T] \\ y(0) = y^0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

# Optimal control problem

- Define the *Lagrange operator*

$$\mathcal{L}(y, \lambda, c) = J(c) - \int_0^T \langle \lambda(t), (\dot{y}(t) - f(y(t)) - c(t)) \rangle dt.$$

- The optima are characterized by the Euler-Lagrange equations  $\nabla \mathcal{L} = 0$ .

→ **Elimination of  $c$ :**

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = f(y) - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha}, & t \in [0, T] \\ \dot{\lambda} = -f'(y)^T \lambda, \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} y(0) = y^0 \\ \lambda(T) = y(T) - y_{target}. \end{cases}$$

# Paraopt Algorithm

- Boundary value problems notations

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_\ell = f(y_\ell) - \frac{\lambda_\ell}{\alpha} \\ \dot{\lambda}_\ell = -f'(y_\ell)^T \lambda_\ell. \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} y(T_\ell) = Y_\ell \\ \lambda(T_{\ell+1}) = \Lambda_{\ell+1} \end{cases}$$

on the subinterval  $[T_\ell, T_{\ell+1}]$ .

- We denote

$$\begin{aligned} y(T_{\ell+1}) &= \mathcal{P}(Y_\ell, \Lambda_{\ell+1}) \\ \lambda(T_\ell) &= \mathcal{Q}(Y_\ell, \Lambda_{\ell+1}). \end{aligned}$$



# Paraopt Algorithm

- The optimality system is enriched:

$$\begin{array}{rclcl}
 Y_0 - y^0 & = & 0 & & \\
 Y_1 - \mathcal{P}(Y_0, \Lambda_1) & = & 0 & \Lambda_1 - \mathcal{Q}(Y_1, \Lambda_2) & = & 0 \\
 Y_2 - \mathcal{P}(Y_1, \Lambda_2) & = & 0 & \Lambda_2 - \mathcal{Q}(Y_2, \Lambda_3) & = & 0 \\
 & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\
 Y_L - \mathcal{P}(Y_{L-1}, \Lambda_L) & = & 0 & \Lambda_L - Y_L + Y_{target} & = & 0
 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

That is : **a system of boundary value subproblems, satisfying matching conditions.**

# Paraopt Algorithm

- We obtain the nonlinear equation

$$\Phi \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \Lambda \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 - y_{init} \\ Y_1 - \mathcal{P}(Y_0, \Lambda_1) \\ Y_2 - \mathcal{P}(Y_1, \Lambda_2) \\ \vdots \\ Y_L - \mathcal{P}(Y_{L-1}, \Lambda_L) \\ \Lambda_1 - \mathcal{Q}(Y_1, \Lambda_2) \\ \Lambda_2 - \mathcal{Q}(Y_2, \Lambda_3) \\ \vdots \\ \Lambda_L - Y_L + y_{target} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{where } \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ \Lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 \\ \vdots \\ Y_L \\ \Lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Lambda_L \end{pmatrix}.$$



# Paraopt Algorithm

- Coarse approximation of the Jacobian using "Derivative Parareal" idea

Which concretely corresponds to:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{P}_{Y_{\ell-1}}(Y_{\ell-1}^k, \Lambda_{\ell}^k)(Y_{\ell-1}^{k+1} - Y_{\ell-1}^k) &\approx \mathcal{P}_{Y_{\ell-1}}^G(Y_{\ell-1}^k, \Lambda_{\ell}^k)(Y_{\ell-1}^{k+1} - Y_{\ell-1}^k), \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_{\ell}}(Y_{\ell-1}^k, \Lambda_{\ell}^k)(\Lambda_{\ell}^{k+1} - \Lambda_{\ell}^k) &\approx \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda_{\ell}}^G(Y_{\ell-1}^k, \Lambda_{\ell}^k)(\Lambda_{\ell}^{k+1} - \Lambda_{\ell}^k), \\
 \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda_{\ell}}(Y_{\ell-1}^k, \Lambda_{\ell}^k)(\Lambda_{\ell}^{k+1} - \Lambda_{\ell}^k) &\approx \mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda_{\ell}}^G(Y_{\ell-1}^k, \Lambda_{\ell}^k)(\Lambda_{\ell}^{k+1} - \Lambda_{\ell}^k) \\
 \mathcal{Q}_{Y_{\ell-1}}(Y_{\ell-1}^k, \Lambda_{\ell}^k)(Y_{\ell-1}^{k+1} - Y_{\ell-1}^k) &\approx \mathcal{Q}_{Y_{\ell-1}}^G(Y_{\ell-1}^k, \Lambda_{\ell}^k)(Y_{\ell-1}^{k+1} - Y_{\ell-1}^k).
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\mathcal{P}_Y^G, \mathcal{Q}_Y^G, \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}^G$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda}^G$  are coarse approximation of  $\mathcal{P}_Y, \mathcal{Q}_Y, \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda}$ .
- The computation of  $\mathcal{P}_Y^G, \mathcal{Q}_Y^G, \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}^G$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda}^G$  imply linear problems.

*M. Gander and E. Hairer "Analysis for parareal algorithms applied to Hamiltonian differential equations", JCAM 2014.*

# Paraopt Algorithm

- Inexact Newton method

$$(\Phi')^G \begin{pmatrix} Y^k \\ \Lambda^k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y^{k+1} - Y^k \\ \Lambda^{k+1} - \Lambda^k \end{pmatrix} = -\Phi \begin{pmatrix} Y^k \\ \Lambda^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $\mathcal{P}_Y^G$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_Y^G$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_\Lambda^G$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_\Lambda^G$  are performed in parallel over subintervals using the coarse time discretization.
- $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are performed in parallel over subintervals using the fine time discretization.

*J. Salomon et al "Paraopt: A Parareal algorithm for optimality systems", SIAM 2020.*

# Paraopt Algorithm

## Dahlquist test equation

- Let us consider the following Dahlquist test problem

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= \sigma y(t) + c(t), \\ y(0) &= y^0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma > 0$ .

- Setting  $\delta t = \Delta T / M$ , Euler explicit yields to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\delta t}(Y_{\ell-1}, \Lambda_\ell) &= -\beta_{\delta t} Y_{\ell-1} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \gamma_{\delta t} \Lambda_\ell \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\delta t}(Y_{\ell-1}, \Lambda_\ell) &= -\beta_{\delta t} \Lambda_\ell \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_{\delta t} := (1 + \sigma \delta t)^{\frac{\Delta T}{\delta t}}$  and  $\gamma_{\delta t} := \frac{\beta_{\delta t}^2 - 1}{\sigma(2 + \sigma \delta t)}$ .

# Paraopt Algorithm

## Dahlquist test equation

- Paraopt algorithm becomes

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Delta t} (X^{k+1} - X^k) = - (\mathcal{M}_{\delta t} X^k - b),$$

$$X = (Y, \Lambda)^T, \quad b = (y_{init}, 0, \dots, 0, -y_{target})^T,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\delta t} := \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & & & & 0 & & & \\ & -\beta_{\delta t} & 1 & & \frac{\gamma_{\delta t}}{\alpha} & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & & \\ & & & -\beta_{\delta t} & 1 & & & \frac{\gamma_{\delta t}}{\alpha} \\ \hline & & & & 1 & -\beta_{\delta t} & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & 1 & -\beta_{\delta t} \\ & & & & & & & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

- $\Delta t$  and  $\delta t$  are coarse and fine time steps respectively over each subinterval  $[T_\ell, T_{\ell+1}]$ .

# Paraopt Algorithm

## Dahlquist test equation

- Setting  $\tau = \beta_{\Delta t} - \gamma_{\Delta t} \frac{\beta_{\Delta t} - \beta_{\delta t}}{\gamma_{\Delta t} - \gamma_{\delta t}}$ .
- $\tau > 1$ .
- We assume that  $\tau$  satisfies

$$(\tau - 1) \geq \frac{\beta_{\Delta t} - 1}{2(L - 1)\beta_{\Delta t}}. \quad (4)$$

- Let  $1 < \tau_0 < \tau$ .
- Let  $L_0 = \frac{(\beta_{\Delta t} - \tau)}{\gamma_{\Delta t}(\tau - \tau_0)}$ .



# Paraopt Algorithm

## Dahlquist test equation




### Theorem (N.)

Let  $\sigma > 0, \alpha, T, L, \Delta t, \delta t$  and be given such that (4) is satisfied. If  $L > \alpha L_0$  then the spectral radius of the iterate matrix satisfies

$$\rho < \sigma (\Delta t - \delta t) \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma (\Delta t - \delta t) + 1 \right) e^{2\sigma \Delta T} \right].$$

- The spectral radius depends strongly on  $\sigma$  and  $(\Delta t - \delta t)$ .

# References

-  M. Gander, F. Kwok and J. Salomon  
*Paraopt: A parareal algorithm for optimal control systems.*  
SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 42(5): A2773-A2802, 2020.
-  M. Gander, S. Vandewalle.  
*Analysis of the Parareal time-parallel time-integration method.*  
SIAM, 29(2) :556–578, 2007.
-  M. Gander, E. Hairer.  
*Analysis for parareal algorithms applied to Hamiltonian differential equations.*  
JCAM 259 :2-13 2014.

End

Thank You